Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the Netherlands Foundation for Chemical Research (SON) with financial aid from the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (ZWO).

Determination of Metal Particle Size of Highly Dispersed Rh, Ir, and Pt Catalysts by Hydrogen Chemisorption and EXAFS[†]

B. J. Kip, F. B. M. Duivenvoorden, D. C. Koningsberger, and R. Prins*

> Laboratory for Inorganic Chemistry and Catalysis Eindhoven University of Technology 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

Received April 14, 1986

Chemisorption of gaseous molecules, especially hydrogen, is extensively used to estimate the dispersion of group VIII (groups 8-10) metal catalysts.¹⁻⁷ Chemisorption methods are especially important for highly dispersed catalysts, because of the difficulty to establish their dispersion by other techniques, such as X-ray diffraction or electron microscopy.1 When hydrogen chemisorption data are used to calculate metal surface areas, often a hydrogen-to-metal stoichiometry of one is assumed. However, H/M values exceeding unity have been obtained for supported Pt,^{2,3} Rh,^{4,5} and Ir^{6,7} systems. In these cases the dispersions cannot be calculated straightforwardly from the chemisorption results, because of the uncertainty in the adsorption stoichiometry. To solve this problem we used the EXAFS technique to determine the average metal-metal coordination number in the metal particles, which is related to the particle size. From the combined results of the chemisorption and EXAFS measurements we have been able to conclude that the high H/M values are due to the fact that more than one hydrogen atom can be attached to a surface metal atom and that the trend in stoichiometry is analogous to that observed in metal polyhydride complexes.

The results of hydrogen chemisorption⁸ and EXAFS measurements9 were compared for several Pt, Rh, and Ir catalysts supported on SiO₂, Al_2O_3 , and TiO₂¹⁰ (Figure 1). Although a



Figure 1. Metal-metal coordination number N, determined with EX-AFS, and metal particle size D (expressed in metal atom diameters), determined from N using model calculations,¹¹ vs. H/M for Pt/Al_2O_3 (\Box), Rh/Al₂O₃ (×), Rh/TiO₂ (\otimes), Ir/SiO₂ (O), and Ir/Al₂O₃ (\bullet) catalysts.

variety of supports and pretreatments was used,¹¹ their effect on the hydrogen-to-metal stoichiometry appeared to be minor, since straight lines were obtained independent of the support used. A large difference was observed between the three metals and for a fixed coordination number H/Pt < H/Rh < H/Ir was observed.

Several explanations have been proposed for high H/M values. A common explanation is that part of the hydrogen is supposed to be adsorbed by the support through hydrogen spillover from the metal particles.^{15,16} Since in the case of spillover differences are expected between the supports used, and not between the metals used, spillover cannot explain our observations. Our results have to be explained by an adsorption stoichiometry larger than one. Subsurface hydrogen seems to provide an opportunity for high stoichiometries.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ However, subsurface adsorption cannot explain H/M values higher than one either, because subsurface adsorption sites need subsurface metal atoms in order to exist. Therefore multiple adsorption on exposed metal atoms, especially at edge or corner positions, must be the main reason for the observed high H/M values.

To explain the observed differences in adsorption stoichiometry for Pt, Rh, and Ir, we have taken the view that the small metal particles (d < 15 Å) can be considered as transition-metal polyhydride complexes. These very small particles consist of too few atoms to be truly metallic. This fact has also been observed by Sanz and Rojo²⁰ in ¹H NMR of hydrogen on Rh/TiO₂ ($P(H_2)$ > 40 kPa). They found chemical shifts very similar to those of transition-metal hydride compounds. Although transition-metal

(11) Kip, B. J.; Duivenvoorden, F. B. M.; Koningsberger, D. C.; Prins, R. submitted for publication in J. Catal.

(12) Van Zon, J. B. A. D.; Koningsberger, D. C.; Van 't Blik, H. F. J.; Sayers, D. E. J. Chem. Phys. **1985**, 82, 5742. (13) Koningsberger, D. C.; Van Zon, J. B. A. D.; Van 't Blik, H. F. J.;

Visser, G. J.; Prins, R.; Mansour, A. N.; Sayers, D. E.; Short, D. R.; Katzer, J. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1985, 89, 4075. (14) Koningsberger, D. C.; Van 't Blik, H. F. J.; Van Zon, J. B. A. D.;

- Prins, R. Proc. Int. Congr. Catal., 8th 1984, V-123. (15) Kramer, R.; Andre, M. J. Catal. 1979, 58, 287
- (16) Bianchi, D.; Lacroix, M.; Pajonk, G.; Teichner, S. J. J. Catal. 1979,
- 59, 467 (17) Eberhardt, W.; Greuter, F.; Plummer, E. W. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1981,
- 46, 1085.
- (18) Yates, J. T., Jr.; Peden, C. H. F.; Houston, J. E.; Goodman, D. W. Surf. Sci. 1985, 160, 37.
 (19) Konvalinka, J. A.; Scholten, J. J. F. J. Catal. 1977, 48, 374.
 - (20) Sanz, J.; Rojo, J. M. J. Phys. Chem. 1985, 89, 4974.

 $^{^\}dagger$ In this paper the periodic group notation in parentheses is in accord with recent actions by IUPAC and ACS nomenclature committees. A and B notation is eliminated because of wide confusion. Groups IA and IIA become groups 1 and 2. The d-transition elements comprise groups 3 through 12, and the p-block elements comprise groups 13 through 18. (Note that the former Roman number designation is preserved in the last digit of the new numbering: e.g., III \rightarrow 3 and 13.)

Sinfelt, J. H. Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. 1972, 2, 641.
 Sato, S. J. Catal. 1985, 92, 11. Frennet, A.; Wells, P. B. Appl. Catal. 1985, 18, 243.

 ⁽³⁾ Koningsberger, D. C.; Sayers, D. E. Solid State Ionics 1985, 16, 23.
 (4) Wanke, S. E.; Dougharty, N. A. J. Catal. 1972, 24, 367.
 (5) Vis, J. C.; Van 't Blik, H. F. J.; Huizinga, T.; Van Grondelle, J.; Prins,

J. Catal. 1985, 95, 333.

⁽⁶⁾ McVicker, G. B.; Baker, R. T. K.; Garten, G. L.; Kugler, E. L. J. Catal. 1980, 65, 207. Krishnamurthy, S.; Landolt, G. R.; Schoennagel, H. J. J. Catal. 1982, 78, 319.

⁽⁷⁾ Kip, B. J.; Van Grondelle, J.; Martens, J. H. A.; Prins, R. Appl. Catal., in press.

⁽⁸⁾ Volumetric hydrogen chemisorption measurements were performed in a conventional glass system at 298 K. After reduction and evacuation at the reduction temperature, hydrogen was admitted at 473 K ($P(H_2) = 93$ kPa). Desorption isotherms were measured at room temperature. The total amount of chemisorbed H atoms was obtained by extrapolating the linear high-pressure part (20 kPa < P < 80 kPa) of the isotherm to zero pressure.

⁽⁹⁾ Catalyst samples were measured at liquid nitrogen temperature as thin self-supporting wafers in H2, after in situ reduction. The Rh and Pt measurements were performed on beamline I-5 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL) at the Rh K-edge (23 220 eV) and Pt $L_{\rm hII}$ -edge (11 564 eV), respectively. The Ir measurements were done on station 9.2 at the Synchrotron Radiation Source (SRS) at the Ir L_{111} -edge (11 215 eV). The data were analyzed by using reference compounds 11-14 The uncertainty in the metal-metal coordination number N is $\pm 10\%$.^{12,13}

⁽¹⁰⁾ The catalysts were prepared from RhCl₃ and IrCl₃ via the incipient wetness technique, from Pt(NH₃)₄(OH)₂ and Rh(NO₃)₃ via the ionexchange technique, and from IrCl₃ via the urea method. γ -Al₂O₃, SiO₂, and TiO₂ (anatase) were used as supports. Metal loadings were in the range 0.5-7.0 wt %

coordination compounds exist with atomic hydrogen as well as with molecular dihydrogen as ligands,²¹ only hydride ligands need

to be considered, because η^2 -H₂ complexes are extremely labile.²¹ Recently, Minot et al.²² performed calculations on the hydro-genation of small Pt_n (n = 2-13) clusters using the extended Hückel method and reported stable complexes like $Pt_4H_{16}(H_2)_2$ and $Pt_6H_{12}(H_2)_4$. Although this suggests that high H/Pt values may be possible, synthesis of hydride complexes of Pt with H:Pt > 2 has rarely been reported. When Pt, Rh, and Ir are compared. we expect Pt to coordinate less ligands than Rh and Ir in the same oxidation state, because Pt has one electron more. Rh and Ir differ in the fact that higher oxidation states are more stable for Ir than for Rh, and since the M-H band can formally be described as M^+-H^- , higher H/M values are expected for Ir. In accordance with this Garlaschelli et al.²³ prepared polyhydride complexes with H:Ir = 5, while the same procedure for Rh yielded only H:Rh = 2. So the H/M stoichiometries in polyhydride complexes increase in the order H/Pt < H/Rh < H/Ir.

The results of this study show that hydrogen chemisorption measurements cannot be used directly to determine particle sizes in highly dispersed catalysts. Above H/M = 0.7, the $H/M_{surface}$ stoichiometry exceeds unity; below H/M = 0.7, the uncertainty in the metal-metal coordination number is too high to determine the $H/M_{surface}$ stoichiometry. However, for one particular metal the hydrogen chemisorption results can always be used to compare metal particle sizes in a qualitative way. By means of the EXAFS technique a calibration can be made, and then H/M values can be quantitatively related to the percentage of exposed metal atoms. The observed differences in H/M values for Pt, Rh, and Ir are analogous to the differences in stability of their polyhydride complexes. Details of this and related studies will be published elsewhere.11

(21) Kubas, G. J.; Ryan, R. R.; Swanson, B. I.; Vergamini, P. J.; Wasserman, H. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 451. Upmacis, R. K.; Gadd, G. E.; Poliakoff, M.; Simpson, M. B.; Turner, J. J.; Whymann, R.; Simpson, A. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 27. Church, S. P.; Grevels, F. W.; Hermann, H.; Schaffner, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 30. Crabtree, R. H.; Lavin, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 794. (22) Minot, C.; Bigot, B.; Hariti, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 196. (23) Garlaschelli, L.; Khan, S. I.; Bau, R.; Longini, G.; Koezle, T. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 7212. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 7212.

On the Question of Heavy Atom Tunneling in the **2-Norbornyl Cation**

Michael J. S. Dewar* and Kenneth M. Merz, Jr.

Department of Chemistry The University of Texas at Austin Austin, Texas 78712 Received October 24, 1985

The controversy concerning the structure of the 2-norbornyl cation (1) has focused on two questions: (a) Is the ion symme-



trical, as indicated in 3? (b) If it is unsymmetrical, is it a normal classical secondary carbocation? Chemical evidence1 and the chemical shifts in its ESCA spectrum² suggest that the answer to the second question is "no". Equally, the integrated areas of the ESCA bands show unambiguously³ that the ion is not symmetrical. The relationship between the structures can be seen clearly by writing them in the form 2-4. While 2 and 4 are represented, for convenience, as classical carbenium ions, the evidence, as noted above suggests that they are best formulated as unsymmetrical π complexes,⁴ derived by displacing the apical methylene group in 3 toward one end or other of the basal C==C bond.



The NMR spectrum of the ion corresponds, however, to a symmetrical structure, even at liquid helium temperatures.⁵ The only way to reconcile this evidence with the ESCA results is to suppose that 2 and 4 interconvert rapidly even at 4 K. Classical interconversion, by crossing an energy barrier, could be fast on the NMR time scale at this temperature only if the barrier height were less than 0.2 kcal/mol. Apart from the improbability of this being the case, it is not clear that so low a barrier would be consistent with the ESCA measurements, given that these were carried out at 77 K where $kT \simeq 0.2$ kcal/mol.

A simple solution of this problem was proposed by Fong⁶ and by Brickmann,⁷ i.e., that the interconversion of 2 and 4 takes place by tunneling. While this suggestion has been ignored because of the belief that tunneling involving heavy atoms (heavy atom tunneling, HAT) cannot be fast, Carpenter⁸ has recently shown that it can be, and we⁹ have confirmed his suggestion. Indeed, using a better procedure,¹⁰ we found the rate of HAT in the system he studied (automerization of cyclobutadiene) to be even greater

- (4) (a) Dewar, M. J. S.; Marchand, A. P. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1965, 16, 321. (b) Dewar, M. J. S.; Reynolds, C. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 1744.
- (5) Yannoni, C. S.; Macho, V.; Myhre, P. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7380.

 - (6) Fong, F. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 7638.
 (7) Brickmann, J. Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 1981, 85, 106.
 (8) Carpenter, B. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1700.
 - (9) Dewar, M. J. S.; Merz, K. M., Jr.; Stewart, J. J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- 1984, 106, 4040. (10) (a) Miller, W. H. J. Chem. Phys. 1979, 83, 960. (b) Bicerano, J.;
- Schaefer, H. G., III; Miller, W. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2550.

0002-7863/86/1508-5634\$01.50/0

⁽¹⁾ Grob, C. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 1983, 16, 426.

⁽²⁾ This follows from the chemical shift of the band corresponding to the cationic carbon atom; see: Olah, G. A.; Mateescu, G. D.; Riemenschneider, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2529.

⁽³⁾ See: Dewar, M. J. S. Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 292.